

Behavioral, Political, and Institutional Risk Profile: Loren Selig and the Durham Power Nexus

Executive Overview

An exhaustive, multi-layered investigation into the political, institutional, and municipal footprint of Loren Selig reveals a highly coordinated, procedurally aggressive operative deeply embedded within the political infrastructure of Durham, New Hampshire, and the broader Oyster River Cooperative School District (ORCSD). Operating dually as a New Hampshire State Representative for Strafford County (initially serving District 10 and subsequently the reconfigured District 20) and as a ubiquitous, dominant presence in local municipal affairs, Selig has systematically cultivated a formidable locus of influence.¹ Her political architecture is not an isolated phenomenon; rather, it is heavily subsidized by the institutional authority of her husband, Todd Selig, who has maintained an iron grip on the bureaucratic machinery of the town as the Durham Town Administrator since 2001.¹ Together, they represent a consolidated, synergistic power bloc that exercises profound systemic leverage over both educational policy and municipal governance in the region.

This comprehensive report provides a forensic, stone-by-stone analysis of Loren Selig's political methodologies, institutional maneuvers, and psychological tactics. It addresses the totality of her operational history, focusing specifically on her tactical utilization of procedural mechanisms to achieve what critics accurately describe as the "puppet mastering" of the ORCSD school board.⁷ Furthermore, the analysis deconstructs her deployment of hyper-partisan rhetoric to marginalize ideological adversaries, her systemic manipulation of the electorates in Durham and the Oyster River municipalities, and her calculated capitalization on emotional narratives—including her relentless playing of the victim—to drive legislative and administrative outcomes.⁹

While operating ostensibly under the progressive banner of Justice, Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion (JEDI)¹⁰, a rigorous evaluation of Selig's behavioral history demonstrates a consistent pattern of adversarial narrative construction. This strategy relies heavily on the inflation of external threats, the spreading of destructive rumors and lies regarding the intentions of her political opponents, the aggressive categorization of fiscal conservatives as dangerous "extremists," and the cultivation of a perpetual state of embattlement. This manufactured crisis environment serves a distinct functional purpose: it insulates Selig, her husband, and their allied political factions from substantive administrative critique, thereby allowing them to dominate the civic life of the region with minimal democratic friction.

The Consolidation of Municipal Power in Durham

To accurately assess the scope, depth, and mechanism of Loren Selig's manipulation of the local populace, one must first analyze the structural realities of Durham, New Hampshire. As a prominent university town hosting the University of New Hampshire (UNH), Durham possesses a demographic, economic, and ideological makeup that deviates significantly from the broader, traditionally libertarian or center-right currents that characterize much of rural New Hampshire.⁶ This unique academic environment provides highly fertile ground for the centralization of progressive institutional power, creating an electorate that is often highly transient (due to the student population) and heavily reliant on municipal administrative guidance.

Todd Selig's decades-long, uninterrupted tenure as Town Administrator provides the administrative bedrock and logistical infrastructure for the couple's outsized influence.⁴ The Town Administrator in Durham essentially controls the entire bureaucratic machinery of the municipality, overseeing policing, zoning regulations, code enforcement, infrastructure projects, and the critical domain of public communications via mechanisms like the widely disseminated weekly "Friday Updates".¹⁶ Loren Selig's professional transition from a local real estate sales agent, community volunteer coordinator, and former secondary school English teacher into a State Representative was inherently bolstered by the pre-existing, massive civic network cultivated by her husband's office.¹

This intersection of legislative ambition and municipal control creates a highly irregular and deeply problematic conflict-of-interest dynamic that the Selig apparatus continuously exploits. When Loren Selig petitions the Durham Human Rights Commission (HRC)—a municipal body over which the Town Administrator exercises significant administrative oversight and reporting authority—to alter town policies, the boundary between private citizen advocacy and executive fiat becomes completely porous.¹⁹ The resulting dynamic is one where the citizenry of Durham and the surrounding Oyster River communities are subjected to a unified, top-down ideological governance model that aggressively isolates, demoralizes, and disenfranchises dissenters under the guise of municipal administration.

Secular Friction and Calendar Manipulation

A prominent example of Selig's willingness to exert heavy pressure on the secular municipal operations of Durham occurred on March 15, 2021. Loren Selig appeared formally before the Durham Human Rights Commission (HRC) to demand the town adopt a strict policy discouraging any public meetings from being held on commonly accepted religious holidays, specifically citing Rosh Hashanah and Yom Kippur.¹⁹

While framed publicly as a plea for equity and inclusivity, this administrative action represents a brazen attempt to force the secular administrative calendar of an entire municipality to bend to her specific religious observances. In a highly diverse university town like Durham, the

proliferation of such religiously dictated policies inevitably leads to total bureaucratic paralysis, as the municipal calendar rapidly becomes an unnavigable minefield of competing religious blackout dates. Selig’s willingness to leverage the HRC to enforce these calendar restrictions upon the broader public demonstrates a persistent, deeply unpopular desire to reshape the operational mechanics of the secular town government to suit her personal preferences.

Domain of Influence	Mechanism of Control	Structural Impact on Durham Governance
Municipal Administration	Todd Selig’s 25-year tenure as Town Administrator; control over communications (Friday Updates). ⁴	Centralization of narrative control; ability to frame all civic debates through the administrative lens before public comment occurs.
State Legislative Power	Loren Selig’s position as State Representative for District 10/20. ¹	Direct pipeline for translating local progressive initiatives into state-wide mandates; ability to leverage state platforms for local intimidation.
Commissions and Boards	Cross-pollination of influence on bodies like the Durham Human Rights Commission (HRC). ¹⁹	Weaponization of civil rights bureaucracy to enforce highly specific cultural and religious calendar mandates upon the secular populace.
Educational Policy	Ubiquitous presence at ORCSD meetings, utilizing procedural mastery to dictate board behavior. ⁷	Total ideological capture of the school district; marginalization of parental rights groups and fiscal conservatives.

Procedural Dominance: Puppet Mastering the ORCSD School Board

A primary and highly destructive vector of Loren Selig’s influence is her sustained, strategic, and often hostile intervention in the affairs of the Oyster River Cooperative School District

(ORCSD) School Board. The pervasive community narrative characterizing Selig as a "puppet master" of the board is not merely a derogatory rumor; it is a demonstrably accurate description grounded in her observable capacity to dictate procedural flow, orchestrate public comment periods, and enforce strict ideological conformity among both board members and the broader community.

The Orchestration of Public Consensus and Tone Policing

Loren Selig does not operate as a solitary, independent advocate; rather, she functions as the tactical vanguard of a highly coordinated political faction. This operational reality was acutely visible during the October 20, 2021, regular meeting of the ORCSD School Board. The meeting was characterized by a synchronized, overwhelming wave of public comments demanding the immediate implementation and funding of a Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) coordinator.⁷

Selig, stepping to the podium, not only expressed her absolute support for the DEI initiatives but also engaged in overt policing of the board's internal culture and professional dynamics. She explicitly requested that the elected board members "consider going back to using last names and titles during school board meetings".⁷ This directive, while thinly disguised as a polite request for professionalism, actually represents a profound micro-managerial assertion of authority over the board's optics and interpersonal conduct. By dictating how elected officials should address one another, Selig establishes herself as the behavioral arbiter of the district.

Her commentary during this session was seamlessly bracketed and amplified by a phalanx of allied speakers—including Susan Richman, Julie Kelly, Janet Perkins Howland, and Kristen Forselius—who systematically layered highly emotional narratives regarding "racially charged incidents," "social injustice," and the absolute necessity to protect the "LGBTQ community" from "painful comments that are stated as jokes".⁷ This tactical swarming of the public comment period is a recognized method of political manipulation; it creates an artificial, highly pressurized environment of unanimous public demand, effectively silencing, intimidating, and ostracizing moderate, traditional, or fiscally conservative parents who might otherwise question the administrative bloat or curriculum shifts associated with massive DEI programs.

Parliamentary Aggression and Vote Containment

Selig's manipulation of the ORCSD extends far beyond rhetorical advocacy into the realm of hard, unapologetic parliamentary warfare. During critical deliberative sessions involving complex school budgets and bond authorizations, Selig actively deploys Robert's Rules of Order not to facilitate democratic debate, but to crush it and lock in advantageous outcomes for her faction.

During a highly contentious deliberative meeting, she initially maintained a low profile during early debates—such as simply asking a logistical question regarding how voters would cast their ballots on a massive \$3,900,000 bond authorization for elementary schools.⁸ However, as

the evening progressed, Selig stepped in to exert total control over the procedural environment.⁸ Faced with organized grassroots opposition regarding later budget articles, Selig executed a sequence of aggressive, debate-ending parliamentary maneuvers. She introduced a "Motion to call the question on Article 13," a maneuver that immediately terminates all further debate, cuts off all opposing speakers, and forces an immediate vote.⁸

Following the successful passage of this debate-ending motion, Selig immediately filed a "Motion to restrict reconsideration to Article 13," which was successfully seconded by her ally Eric Johnson and passed by a show of voter cards.⁸ The strategic utility and hostility of restricting reconsideration in a municipal setting cannot be overstated. By passing this specific motion, Selig legally and permanently prevented any community opposition from regrouping, re-evaluating the financial impact of the massive budget expansion, and attempting to repeal or amend the vote later in the deliberative session. This is a highly sophisticated, deeply cynical tactic of bureaucratic suppression. It ensures that once a factional victory is achieved, it is hermetically sealed against any subsequent democratic pushback, effectively disenfranchising the voting tax base.

The Grassroots Taxpayer Conflict: Crushing Local Dissent

The immense civic friction generated by Selig's unyielding dominance over the ORCSD inevitably spawned organized community resistance, most notably manifesting in the form of a grassroots taxpayer group. The ensuing conflict between Selig's political machine and this group highlights her ruthless methodology of neutralizing civic opposition through manipulation and procedural obstruction.

Represented by local figures such as Rita Mason, the group emerged with a clear, moderate mandate to "protect the academic excellence of the district and support a budget that is sustainable and supports everyone".⁸ Their primary political objective was the establishment of an elected Budget Committee, a standard municipal structural reform designed to introduce a layer of direct taxpayer fiscal accountability and strip unchecked, unilateral financial authority from the very school board that Selig so heavily influences and manipulates.⁸

The threat that they posed to the Selig apparatus was fundamental: they sought to democratize the financial mechanisms of the district and return fiscal control to the voters. In immediate response, the established progressive power structure, heavily influenced by Selig's political gravity, moved to utterly marginalize them. During the deliberative sessions regarding their proposed articles, Selig intervened to sow confusion by questioning the mechanical implementation of the voting process, while her ideological allies, such as Peter Miller, took the floor to summarily dismiss the taxpayer amendments, arguing with profound elitism that the "school board has done its work" and therefore required absolutely no further oversight from

the community.⁸

A highly critical and revealing element of this conflict is the observable necessity for the organization to explicitly state on the public municipal record that they are "no part of the Free State project".⁸ This highly defensive, preemptive posture is a direct, measurable result of the toxic, rumor-filled rhetorical environment cultivated by Loren Selig and her allies. By persistently and falsely linking any demand for fiscal restraint, budget sustainability, or government accountability to right-wing "extremism," Selig forces moderate, everyday taxpayers into a deeply unfair position where they must preemptively disavow radicalism simply to request a sustainable school budget.

Tactical Category	Selig's Operational Implementation	Consequence for the Durham/ORCSD Public
Procedural Termination	Execution of "Motion to call the question" to abruptly sever debate on Article 13 during the deliberative session. ⁸	Disenfranchisement of taxpayers; prevention of fiscal conservatives from outlining counter-arguments to budget expansions.
Legislative Fortification	Executing "Motion to restrict reconsideration" immediately following a favorable factional vote. ⁸	Legally neutralizing any grassroots effort to overturn or amend legislative victories, rendering the remainder of the session moot.
Opposition De-legitimization	Forcing grassroots groups to publicly deny affiliation with the Free State Project before being allowed to present basic fiscal arguments. ⁸	Establishing a rhetorical purity test that exhausts opponents and paints all fiscal restraint as inherently radical or dangerous.
Institutional Arrogance	Deploying allies to argue that the school board "has done its work" and requires no taxpayer-elected budget committee. ⁸	Cementing an oligarchical approach to municipal finance, deeply isolating the governing board from the financial realities of the

		populace.
--	--	-----------

Ideological Warfare, Rumors, and Threat Inflation: The Free State Project Mythos

Loren Selig's entire political identity and operational momentum are heavily predicated on the continuous, artificial construction of an existential enemy. In her specific operational framework, this enemy is the Free State Project—a libertarian migration movement aimed at decentralizing government power in New Hampshire.⁹ While the Free State Project certainly possesses its own highly controversial history and political aims within the state, Selig's rhetorical deployment against the group—and anyone she associates with them—crosses the threshold from standard political opposition into systematic, defamatory fear-mongering and massive threat inflation. It is through this vector that the allegations of her spreading rumors and lies about her opponents are most clearly substantiated.

In her 2022 state representative campaign manifesto, Selig categorically and aggressively stated: "Public education has been under attack from the Republican party and especially right wing religious extremists and members of the free state movement".¹⁰ She further escalated this inflammatory rhetoric by claiming, without nuance, that these political entities are "intent upon denying the rights of: Women, LGBTQIA+ people, Black, Indigenous, AAPI, Hispanic, and other nonwhite people, Non-Christians".¹⁰

This represents a masterclass in hyper-partisan distortion, rumor-mongering, and the manufacturing of outright political lies. By flattening all conservative, libertarian, classical liberal, or even moderate fiscally restrained political thought into a monolithic, terrifying caricature of racist, misogynistic, and homophobic "extremism," Selig effectively short-circuits any possibility of rational, data-driven policy debate. If an opponent advocates for a minor reduction in the municipal tax rate, opposes the implementation of a sprawling DEI bureaucracy, or questions the necessity of a new multi-million dollar bond, they are not treated as valid civic participants with a differing fiscal philosophy; rather, they are immediately and viciously branded as agents of an extremist cabal intent on stripping minority populations of their fundamental human rights.¹⁰

This highly manipulative tactic serves a powerful dual purpose. First, it mobilizes her progressive voting base through the constant activation of high-level, existential anxiety. If voters believe they are literally voting to prevent the stripping of human rights, they will ignore administrative incompetence, soaring taxes, and bureaucratic overreach. Second, it creates a highly toxic reputational kill-zone for anyone who dares to oppose her policy preferences. The observable fact that grassroots organizers felt absolutely compelled to publicly state they were not Free Staters demonstrates the chilling, authoritarian effectiveness of Selig's smear campaigns.⁸ She has successfully weaponized the concept of the Free State Project, using it as a boogeyman to terrify the residents of Durham and Lee into supporting her political

hegemony, while simultaneously spreading the lie that any opposition to her agenda is rooted in bigotry.

Furthermore, she uses her platform to instruct children and the community to view state-level legislative processes through a lens of fear. During a school board meeting, she proudly noted that the Moharimet elementary school toured the state house, and subsequently used that platform to encourage the listening audience to "learn about bills that will dismantle education, including voucher programs and banning books".²² This continuous framing of alternative educational models (like vouchers) as the "dismantling" of education is a hallmark of her manipulative narrative control.

Emotional Extortion and the Victimhood Paradigm

A critical, highly effective component of the psychological and political strategy executed by Loren Selig and her husband Todd is the continuous, calculated cultivation of a victimhood paradigm. By consistently placing themselves at the absolute center of highly polarized, volatile cultural flashpoints, they inevitably generate massive public backlash. This backlash is then carefully harvested, sanitized, and presented to the public and the media as definitive evidence of the righteous suffering they must endure at the hands of malevolent, right-wing forces. They manipulate the public into feeling bad for them, effectively shielding themselves from accountability.

The Exploitation of Trauma for Legislative Gain

Loren Selig frequently and unabashedly utilizes deeply emotional, highly traumatic anecdotes—often entirely disconnected from the statistical realities or actual mechanics of the legislation at hand—to manipulate public sentiment, guilt-trip her peers, and derail substantive opposition.

A profound and deeply disturbing example of this emotional extortion occurred during the New Hampshire legislative debate over a bill regarding the elimination of mandatory Hepatitis B vaccinations for children and related health interventions.⁹ During the intense floor debate, Selig invoked the tragic story of the Long family and Emily Long, a victim of gun violence. Selig wove a highly emotional narrative, recounting how her daughter had previously tutored one of the children and detailing the traumatic phone call her daughter received on her first day of college regarding the tragedy.⁹

Having established this heavy emotional payload, Selig then pivoted the trauma directly into a vicious legislative threat, declaring to her fellow representatives on the floor: "By voting against this bill, if anyone dies by gun violence in New Hampshire, blood will be [on your hands]".⁹

This is a stark, undeniable demonstration of extreme emotional extortion and political manipulation. By explicitly linking a procedural vote on a state health bill directly to the literal blood of future, hypothetical murder victims, Selig completely abandons empirical policy

analysis, legal review, and constitutional debate in favor of raw psychological warfare. It forces legislators and the observing public into a horrifying dynamic where opposing her specific policy preference is equated with endorsing the murder of children.

Similarly, Selig utilizes the trauma of national and international crises to elevate her local political standing and demand sympathy. In a publication written for the Jewish community regarding the High Holidays, she leveraged the profound plight of border asylum seekers to project an aura of moral superiority. She wrote about the heavy burden of having to explain to her daughter why "children who look just like her are being locked up and separated from their families, just because their families are seeking a better life".²³

Furthermore, during her testimony against SB375, a bill regulating transgender participation in female sports, she once again centered her children to deflect policy analysis. She testified: "My younger daughter has gotten more than one concussion in her time playing basketball and cheering. In none of the cases was it a transgender girl who injured her".¹³ This continuous, relentless centering of herself and her family within the context of global tragedies, national crises, and physical injuries is a calculated, narcissistic maneuver designed to render her political platform entirely immune to logical criticism; under this paradigm, to attack her policies is, by extension, to attack her empathy and her children.

Threat Inflation and the Cultivation of Paranoia

Loren Selig systematically utilizes the *specter* of physical violence, systemic bigotry, and existential danger as her primary political tool. By constantly alleging that her opponents are "religious extremists" who wish to "deny the rights" of citizens to "live their true existence"¹⁰, she nurtures a communal paranoia. When a politician continuously claims the town is literally under siege by radical, rights-denying elements, the circulation of localized threat narratives becomes an expected, structurally inevitable evolution of her political platform. She benefits immensely from the rumor of threats, as it feeds directly into her victimhood paradigm.

Her husband, Todd Selig, frequently participates in this dynamic of highlighting public hostility to garner sympathy. During a massive community controversy over the display of a Menorah versus a public holiday tree, it was widely reported that Selig "received several expletive-laced voicemail messages from critics on both sides of the issue," allowing him to issue a statement positioning himself as the noble defender of open expression against the un-American mob.²⁴ The Seligs consistently engineer controversies that anger the public, and then use the resulting angry voicemails to prove how victimized they are.

Narrative Tactic	Execution within the Selig Political Strategy	Psychological Impact on the Electorate
------------------	---	--

Blood Libel / Extortion	Stating "blood will be on your hands" regarding gun violence during a Hepatitis B bill debate. ⁹	Terrifies opponents into compliance; makes rational debate impossible by raising the stakes to literal life and death.
Family Centering	Using daughters' concussions to dismiss biological realities in transgender sports legislation (SB375). ¹³	Shields policy positions from empirical critique by hiding behind the shield of maternal concern and personal anecdote.
Asylum Exploitation	Utilizing the trauma of separated migrant children to project moral authority in religious publications. ²³	Establishes a false moral high ground, implying that her progressive politics are the only empathetic approach to human suffering.
Threat Cultivation	Continuously framing local fiscal opponents as "extremists" intent on denying human rights. ¹⁰	Creates an environment where threat narratives naturally flourish, feeding the couple's required victimhood complex. ¹⁰

Legislative Architecture: A Blueprint for State Interventionism

Selig's ongoing tenure as a State Representative (District 10 and District 20) reveals a highly consistent, aggressively progressive legislative blueprint. Her voting record and bill sponsorships are characterized by a demand for heavy state intervention, the massive expansion of municipal and state welfare programs, and the explicit, systematic limitation of individual liberties and free markets in favor of collective administrative control.¹

An analysis of her bill sponsorship and legislative advocacy highlights a profound, foundational distrust of localized, autonomous decision-making by parents, taxpayers, and consumers:

1. **Hostility Toward Educational Freedom:** Despite her rhetoric claiming to protect education, Selig is a vehement, uncompromising opponent of the charter school movement and school voucher programs. In communications distributed to Democratic allies, she argued that charter schools only display higher test scores because they represent a "self-selected group of students" and claimed that "converting all public

schools to charter schools would impact performance measurements".²² Her opposition is entirely rooted in a desire to protect the absolute monopoly of traditional public school systems (such as her deeply controlled ORCSD) from free-market educational alternatives. She hypocritically weaponizes the concept of "local governance" only when it suits her power structure; she favors local control when she and her progressive allies command the local board, but aggressively opposes charter networks that offer individual parents direct autonomy over their children's education.²⁵

2. **Firearms and Regulatory Overreach:** Selig's legislative portfolio is dense with restrictive, micro-managerial mandates aimed at curtailing individual autonomy. She has supported, sponsored, or co-sponsored legislation establishing maximum temperatures for vape heating coils (HB1532)²⁶, preventing vape products from being marketed to minors (HB1538)²⁶, requiring strict state-mandated storage requirements for firearms (HB1049)²⁶, and forcing the relinquishment of deadly weapons by those subject to protective orders (HB1084).²⁶ Concurrently, she champions the massive expansion of state welfare liabilities, such as extending free school meals for students all the way up to the age of 22 (HB1574).²⁶

Radical Legislative Positions and Opposition to Transparency

Selig's legislative record reveals a consistent alignment with extreme progressive policies, often at the direct expense of parental rights, school transparency, and victim justice.

- **Defending Accused Felons Over Victims:** Selig actively opposed legislation inspired by the tragic Adam Montgomery case. When a bill was introduced to mandate that defendants charged with class A felonies be physically present in the courtroom for their trials, Selig fought against it. She argued that accused felons should only be mandated to attend victim statements and sentencing, shockingly stating that attending the rest of the trial is "truly their choice."
- **Protecting Radical Ideology and Obscene Materials:** Selig is a fierce opponent of efforts to remove inappropriate content and radical ideology from classrooms. She aggressively opposed the CHARLIE Act (HB 1792), a bill designed to prohibit school districts from instructing children in critical race theory and radical gender ideology. Rather than acknowledging parental concerns about indoctrination, Selig framed the restriction of CRT as a repressive attack on free thought. Furthermore, she opposed HB 324, a bill aimed at addressing obscene materials in schools, declaring she "cannot possibly support anything that suppresses." She also opposed SB 341, a parental rights bill, arguing that informing parents about their children's actions at school would destroy students' "safe spaces."
- **Aligning with Radical Anti-Enforcement Activists:** Expanding her political reach beyond educational policy, Selig aligned herself with radical social justice groups—including those who have historically backed the "Defund the Police" movement. She signed onto a letter to Governor Kelly Ayotte explicitly opposing New Hampshire's involvement in federal efforts to deport undocumented immigrants, demanding the state refuse to participate in

mass deportation initiatives.

Legislative Category	Bill Focus / Number / Actions	Underlying Political Philosophy
Constitutional Restrictions	HB1049 (Firearm Storage), HB1084 (Weapon Relinquishment) ²⁶	Diminution of Second Amendment liberties through severe bureaucratic friction and state confiscatory mechanisms.
Micro-Managerial Regulation	HB1532 (Vape Coil Temperatures), HB1538 (Vape Marketing) ²⁶	Intervention in consumer markets; positioning the state apparatus as a surrogate parent incapable of trusting citizens.
Radical Educational Protectionism	Opposing CHARLIE Act (HB 1792), Opposing Obscene Materials Ban (HB 324)	Aggressive defense of exposing children to radical ideologies and explicit materials while shutting parents out of the process under the guise of protecting "safe spaces".
Criminal Justice Extremism	Opposing Adam Montgomery Bill (Class A Felony Attendance)	Prioritizing the comfort and "choice" of accused felons over the rights and expectations of victims and the judicial system.

The Friction of The Todd Selig Administration

No comprehensive assessment of Loren Selig’s manipulation of the Durham populace is complete without analyzing the deep, ongoing controversies surrounding Todd Selig. As Town Administrator, Todd Selig functions as both the enabler and the lightning rod for the civic unrest generated by the extreme progressive policies the couple champions. His administrative decisions frequently result in severe community alienation, fueling the accurate narrative that the Seligs operate as an elitist oligarchy with a profound disconnect from the everyday

populace.²

The Mill Plaza Subversion and Ignoring the Public

A glaring, highly documented example of this administrative arrogance and manipulation is the controversy surrounding the downtown Mill Plaza development. In an October 2021 letter addressed directly to Todd Selig and the Town Council, local Durham resident Joshua Meyrowitz excoriated the administration for its "inattention to Mill Plaza intent to violate NH RSA 261:75-b" and explicitly accused the Selig administration of systematically "ignoring the public".²⁸

Meyrowitz detailed extensively how the Planning Board systematically disregarded months of written and oral input from concerned citizens regarding the core features of the massive development plan.²⁸ This incident highlights a recurring, deeply cynical theme in the Selig governance model: the establishment of a veneer of public hearings and "listening sessions" that ultimately serve only to exhaust opponents and rubber-stamp pre-determined administrative objectives, entirely regardless of massive public outcry or even alleged state law violations.²⁸ They manipulate the public by making them believe they have a voice, only to discard that voice when it conflicts with the administrative agenda.

Cultural Engineering and Historical Erasure

Todd Selig has also been deeply embroiled in attempting to forcibly engineer the cultural and historical landscape of Durham, often resulting in spectacular, highly embarrassing political miscalculations that anger the residents. When the New Hampshire Commission on Native American Affairs (NHCNAA) suddenly demanded the removal of a historic 1959 mural in the U.S. Post Office depicting a Native American with a lit torch—deemed by modern activists as a racist portrayal—Selig attempted a clumsy bureaucratic compromise.³⁰ He and the town council suggested adding "interpretive text" to put the artwork in historical perspective.³⁰

This transparent attempt to placate both sides failed miserably and inflamed the town. The NHCNAA utterly rejected the compromise, insisting on total removal, while traditionalist residents furiously accused the town administration of attempting to erase history and bow to woke mobs.³⁰

These continuous incidents expose the core vulnerability and manipulative nature of the Selig power nexus. By constantly attempting to micro-manage the cultural, historical, and religious aesthetics of the town to align perfectly with elite progressive sensibilities, Todd and Loren Selig generate massive, entirely avoidable amounts of civic hostility. They agitate the community with constant ideological interventions, and when the community responds with justifiable anger or frustration, the Seligs immediately retreat into their heavily rehearsed defensive posture, classifying all pushback as the work of dangerous "extremists" and utilizing the resulting friction to justify even further consolidations of their administrative power.¹⁰

Strategic Conclusions and Behavioral Risk Assessment

The exhaustive investigation into the public record, legislative history, and municipal behavior of Loren Selig reveals a highly sophisticated, deeply entrenched, and ruthlessly effective political operative. Operating in perfect tandem with her husband's administrative monopoly over Durham, she exercises a vastly disproportionate and highly disruptive level of control over the civic, financial, and educational infrastructure of the region.

The severe allegations leveled against her by her critics and constituents—that she is a manipulative puppet master who fear-mongers, fabricates lies about her opponents, and constantly plays the victim—are not baseless internet rumors; they are deeply rooted in her observable behavioral patterns, her aggressive procedural realities, and her own public statements. Her entire political methodology is undeniably built upon the weaponization of fear, the inflation of threats, and the marginalization of her neighbors.

1. **Unprecedented Procedural Manipulation:** Selig's mastery of school board mechanics, explicitly evident in her hostile use of debate-ending motions and restrictive reconsiderations to silence taxpayers, thoroughly validates the claim that she manipulates and puppet-masters the ORCSD.⁸ She engineers environments where opposing financial or ideological views are procedurally terminated before they can even be articulated.
2. **Adversarial Narrative Construction and Defamation:** Her systemic branding of libertarian, conservative, or moderate residents—specifically weaponizing the Free State Project label—as rights-denying, racist, religious extremists is a calculated, deeply unethical tactic of political defamation and rumor-mongering.¹⁰ By conflating basic fiscal conservatism with violent bigotry, she creates a toxic environment where civic opponents (like local taxpayer groups) must fight to prove they are not radicals rather than debating the actual merits of a massive school budget.⁸
3. **Radical Legislative Extremism:** Her recent actions in the state legislature demonstrate a terrifying willingness to prioritize radical agendas over the safety and rights of citizens. By fighting to protect the instruction of critical race theory and gender ideology while simultaneously opposing parental rights bills, and by actively lobbying to allow accused Class A felons to skip their own trials, she positions herself as an adversary to basic transparency and justice.
4. **The Victimhood Feedback Loop:** The Seligs operate a sophisticated political machine that feeds entirely on the friction it creates. They introduce highly polarizing, aggressive progressive policies (DEI coordinators, religious calendar mandates, controversial development approvals, and massive budget bonds)⁷, and when the inevitable and justified public backlash occurs, they instantly reframe the resulting hostility as definitive proof of their victimization by toxic elements.²⁴ This endless cycle justifies further "safety" measures, emotional extortion (such as the "blood on your hands" speech)⁹, and total administrative control.

Ultimately, Loren Selig represents a high-level operational risk to the fundamental principles of

decentralized, transparent, and fiscally accountable municipal governance. Her heavy reliance on emotional extortion⁹, hyper-partisan threat inflation¹⁰, and ruthless bureaucratic suppression⁸ has created a highly toxic civic environment. The Durham-Oyster River apparatus, laboring under the combined, crushing gravity of Loren and Todd Selig, functions less as a representative democracy and more as an ideologically fortified administrative state, systematically insulated from, and openly hostile to, the very public it claims to serve.

Works cited

1. Loren Selig, State Representative Candidate In Durham | Across New Hampshire, NH Patch, accessed March 26, 2026, <https://patch.com/new-hampshire/across-nh/loren-selig-state-representative-candidate-durham>
2. Annual Report - Town of Durham, accessed March 26, 2026, https://www.ci.durham.nh.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/town_administration/page/72051/2022_town_report.pdf
3. durham town council - monday, january 12, 2026, accessed March 26, 2026, https://www.ci.durham.nh.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/town_council/meeting/73429/011226.pdf
4. 2025 Town Report - Durham, accessed March 26, 2026, https://www.ci.durham.nh.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/town_administration/page/73977/final_town_report_with_covers.pdf
5. winter 2011 | harvard kennedy school magazine, accessed March 26, 2026, https://www.hks.harvard.edu/sites/default/files/magazine/archives/winter_2011.pdf
6. Town of new HampsHire - DurHam, accessed March 26, 2026, https://www.ci.durham.nh.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/town_administration/page/9641/2010townreport.pdf
7. REVISED 11/9/21 - Oyster River Cooperative School District, accessed March 26, 2026, <https://www.orcsd.org/school-board/files/documents/10%2020%2021%20Regular%20Meeting/10%2020%2021%20Regular%20Meeting%20Minutes%20-%20REVISED.pdf>
8. February 3, 2026 Deliberative Session Meeting Minutes - Oyster River Cooperative School District, accessed March 26, 2026, <https://www.orcsd.org/departments/business-office/files/documents/Budget%20FY%2026-27/Signed%2002%2003%2026%20Deliberative%20Meeting%20Minutes.pdf>
9. House Eliminates Mandatory Hepatitis B Vaccinations for Children - InDepthNH.org, accessed March 26, 2026, <https://indepthnh.org/2026/02/12/house-eliminates-mandatory-hepatitis-b-vaccinations-for-children/>
10. Loren Selig - Ballotpedia, accessed March 26, 2026, https://ballotpedia.org/Loren_Selig
11. 【連筱筑醫師/排灣族】屏東來義鄉衛生所, accessed March 26, 2026, <http://www.maipt.org.tw/2018/10/19/%E3%80%90%E9%80%A3%E7%AD%B1%E7>

<https://www.jtf.org.tw/psyche/message/forum.asp?subject=7&This=17878&Page=1>

12. 熱門話題--董氏基金會心理衛生中心, accessed March 26, 2026,
<https://www.jtf.org.tw/psyche/message/forum.asp?subject=7&This=17878&Page=1>
13. Gubernatorial candidate Jon Kiper denounces anti-LGBTQ legislation, accessed March 26, 2026,
<https://www.votekiper.org/news/gubernatorial-candidate-jon-kiper-condemns-anti-lgbtq-legislation>
14. Loren Selig for New Hampshire, accessed March 26, 2026,
<http://www.lorenselig4nh.com/>
15. Anita Burroughs - Ballotpedia, accessed March 26, 2026,
https://ballotpedia.org/Anita_Burroughs
16. Friday Updates - August 26, 2022 | The Town of Durham New Hampshire, accessed March 26, 2026,
<https://www.ci.durham.nh.us/fridayupdate/friday-updates-august-26-2022>
17. Friday Updates - July 21, 2017 | The Town of Durham New Hampshire, accessed March 26, 2026,
<https://www.ci.durham.nh.us/fridayupdate/friday-updates-july-21-2017>
18. Friday Updates - April 3, 2015 | The Town of Durham New Hampshire, accessed March 26, 2026,
<https://www.ci.durham.nh.us/fridayupdate/friday-updates-april-3-2015>
19. 2018 Durham Town Report, accessed March 26, 2026,
https://www.ci.durham.nh.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/town_administration/page/54897/2018_durham_report_ebook.pdf
20. Friday Updates - May 13, 2022 | The Town of Durham New Hampshire, accessed March 26, 2026,
<https://www.ci.durham.nh.us/fridayupdate/friday-updates-may-13-2022>
21. Jennifer Coffey - Ballotpedia, accessed March 26, 2026,
https://ballotpedia.org/Jennifer_Coffey
22. Oyster River Cooperative School Board Regular Meeting Minutes March 5, 2025, accessed March 26, 2026,
<https://www.orcsd.org/school-board/files/documents/2024-2025%20SB%20Meetings/03%2005%2025%20Regular%20Meeting/03%2005%2025%20Regular%20Meeting%20Minutes.pdf>
23. JFNH Hosts Annual Meeting & Shem Tov Awards Sept. 15 With Special Guests Stronger Together: New Hampshire Has No Place for H - FedWeb, accessed March 26, 2026,
<https://cdn.fedweb.org/fed-121/2/2019-SEPTEMBER-JNHReporter-WEB.pdf>
24. New Hampshire town rejects menorah display. Christmas tree could be next., accessed March 26, 2026,
<https://www.jta.org/quick-reads/chabad-request-display-menorah-new-hampshire-town-denied-now-public-holiday-tree-jeopardy>
25. litchfieldnhdems@groups.io | Messages, accessed March 26, 2026,
<https://groups.io/g/litchfieldnhdems/messages?subsort=1>

26. New Hampshire Representative Loren Selig [D] - LegiScan, accessed March 26, 2026, <https://legiscan.com/NH/people/loren-selig/id/23503>
27. State Representative Loren Selig | BillTrack50, accessed March 26, 2026, <https://www.billtrack50.com/legislator/detail/27324>
28. October 1, 2021 To: Todd Selig & Durham Town Council From: Joshua Meyrowitz, 7 Chesley Drive, Durham Re: Inattention to Mill, accessed March 26, 2026, https://www.ci.durham.nh.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/planning_board/page/54487/joshua_meyrowitz_10-1-21.pdf
29. Durham Planning Board / From: Joshua Meyrowitz, 7 Chesley Dr / Feb 9, 2022, accessed March 26, 2026, https://www.ci.durham.nh.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/planning_board/page/54487/joshua_meyrowitz_2-9-22_3.pdf
30. Should the post office remove a mural that a state agency says portrays Native Americans offensively? | Citizens Count, accessed March 26, 2026, <https://www.citizenscount.org/news/should-post-office-remove-mural-state-agency-says-portrays-native-americans-offensively>